

Distinguishing Human Creativity from AI-Generated Literary Texts



P-ISSN: 1680-9300

E-ISSN: 2790-2129

Vol. (25), No. (2)

pp. 40-47

¹Yaser A. Jasim, ²Ali Jalal Awqati¹Freelancer, Canada²Department of Accounting, Cihan University-Erbil, Kurdistan Region – Iraq

Abstract:

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology has grown rapidly and authors can write paragraphs and sentences that accept such acts to human compositions, scholars have been examining the differences between computer-generated works and those written by humans. This review is an analysis and combination of papers that do a comparative study of contemporary literature by human and artificial intelligence. Theoretical techniques such as formal language, structure style, and narration are used in the study to make comparisons between human writing features and AI text patterns. While AI systems can reproduce certain essential aspects of literature in text, they capture a limit in producing new content and comprehensive ideas expressed in language. The problem statement of the research consists of describing scientific intervals in the separation of AI substance from human creativity, as well as philosophical, cultural, and ethical issues of AI in the literary context. Current research has been identified as having suffered from significant weaknesses in matters of complicated literary consistency and literary relations between authors and cultural knowledge. The study concludes that collaborative research methodology and critical self-evaluation are necessary because AI transforms how individuals make and analyze literature.

Keywords: AI, ChatGPT, text generation, Human writing, AI-Generated

1. Introduction:

Over the past several years, artificial intelligence (AI) has advanced significantly, and cutting-edge algorithms can produce literary writings that resemble human writing. Experts in computer science developed text generation by using rule-based models to produce simple language components and phrases. Early technical limitations in these experiments led to advancements in computational linguistics and natural language processing (NLP). Due to advancements in deep learning and neural network technology, AI-generated

components had a revolutionary phase in the twenty-first century (Khalifa and Albada, 2024). Deep learning is the use of artificial neural network structures with several processing layers in addition to the configurations of traditional neural network approaches (Muhamad et al., 2021). Language models created by OpenAI utilizing GPT technology and Google using Bard use large datasets and transformers to build coherent writings that mimic human communication patterns and styles (Kalantzis and Cope, 2025). AI technology has advanced in that it can now generate artistic items such as poems, short tales, and full-length novels. Artificial intelligence writings achieved significant success when "The Day a Computer Writes a Novel" advanced to the first stage of a Japanese literary contest and Ross Goodwin composed the AI-authored novel "1 the Road" during a transcontinental drive (Raita, 2019; Pacini, 2022; Raewf, et al., 2021).

The digital revolution has significant effects that change the nature of literature. AI systems assist authors in generating ideas, plotting, creating characters, and editing their work, making writing more accessible to a broader range of individuals. Writers, from amateur to expert, may use tools like ChatGPT and Google Bard to work on interactive platforms that automate outlines, generate unexpected story elements, and arrange their tales for advancement. AI analytics are currently a vital component of literary studies since they help with a variety of applications, including literary analysis and authorship identification, as well as sentiment analysis and translation use. Because AI-generated texts are more sophisticated, society and academia focus on differentiation (Law, 2024). The scholarly focus is investigating creative features, originality, and authenticity, all typical of human writers. The mix of human and AI literary output causes readers to reconsider how stories should be written and what responsibilities an author typically fulfills, while also raising concerns about literature's sustainability as an art form. Concerns involving intellectual property, as well as human creative ideals and existing literature, have raised themes in public conversations about AI creative engagement.

The rapid expansion of AI-produced writings, together with rising research into their characteristics, necessitates an immediate analysis and critical critique of present academic literature. The primary purpose of this review analysis is to provide a comprehensive summary of research findings on differences in writing style and textual content between human-written and artificial intelligence-generated literary texts. The study incorporates research in literary style and content theory by examining empirical and theoretical studies that compare human writing to AI development, in addition to the advantages and disadvantages of current methodologies. Specifically, this review aims to:

- Synthesize key findings from recent studies that analyze stylistic features (such as lexical diversity, syntactic complexity, and narrative coherence) and content characteristics (such as thematic depth and originality) in human- and AI-generated texts.

- Critically assess the methodologies and frameworks employed in comparative analyses, highlighting both achievements and persistent challenges in distinguishing between human and AI literary output.
- Explore broader implications for literary theory, creative practice, and the evolving relationship between technology and the humanities.
- Identify gaps in the current literature and suggest directions for future research, particularly in areas such as long-form narrative, intertextuality, and the cultural context of literary production.

This study provides a broad approach to assessing creative and analytical Artificial Intelligence uses in literary writing. The review explores a variety of literary forms, including poetry, short tales, novels, and scriptwriting, and draws ideas from the interconnected disciplines of literary studies, computer science, philosophy, and ethics. It assesses current research without adding new quantitative or qualitative results, using a synthesis to develop a comprehensive understanding of the area.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Defining Literary Style and Content

Literary criticism approaches and comparative textual analysis are constructed based on research into literary style and content. An author's literary style describes their distinctive techniques of utilizing language, such as word choice, sentence structure, figurative language, and literary point of view. Literary style aspects provide a practical purpose in that they transmit mood, tone, and authorial voice (Fedulenkova, 2018). Content refers to the key elements encountered in literary works, such as themes, subject matter, characters, narratives, and philosophical and social concerns addressed in the text (Barry, 2017).

To conduct the systematic study, various theoretical models of style and content were established. Formalism looks at a text's appearance and uses imagery, pattern, and grammar to convey

meaning. Close reading, which involves detailed analysis, such as analyzing a book's structure to identify patterns and meanings within the text, is commonly regarded as a formality by rigidity critics (Eagleton, 2011). In contrast, structuralism is produced as a text's interactions with other parts and the cultural rules that it represents. According to structuralist scholars such as Barthes and Saussure, literary works are components of a system of signals, and their meaning is defined by their position within the system (Barry, 2017). Stylistics combines literary criticism and linguistics by providing analytical techniques for measuring style. In this approach, computational tools on significant datasets frequently find quantifiable aspects such as word frequency, sentence length, and the employment of literary devices (Fedulenkova, 2018). Recent advances in computational stylistics have enabled statistical and machine-learning comparisons of genre standards and authorial signatures (Jockers, 2013). Thematic criticism is most commonly used for content analysis, which is the identification and interpretation of repeating concepts, designs, and symbols. It is often qualitative, focusing on the depth and complexity of themes, however, it may also be quantitative if researchers code and quantify the existence of topics or concepts (Forman and Damschroder, 2007). The second lens focuses on narrative, which examines the structure and function of narrative components such as story, character, and point of view (Bal, 2009; Karem et al., 2022).

These frameworks are used to provide for a more sophisticated comprehension of surface elements as well as underlying meanings in literary texts. As demonstrated in Table 1, such frameworks are essential in comparative studies of human and AI-generated literature for systematic comparison of styles and contents.

Table 1: Major Theoretical Frameworks for Analyzing Literary Style and Content

Framework	Focus	Key Features
Formalism	Text-internal features	Structure, imagery, language, close reading
Structuralism	Underlying systems and relationships	Binary oppositions, narrative functions
Stylistics	Linguistic analysis of style	Word frequency, syntax, figurative language
Narratology	Structure and function of narrative elements	Plot, character, point of view
Thematic Criticism	Identification and interpretation of themes	Motifs, recurring ideas, symbolic meaning

2.2 AI Literary and Text Generation

Developing quickly in the field of AI-driven literary text production has evolved following key technological breakthroughs, opening up many creative possibilities. In the twentieth century, computer scientists developed rule-based systems to generate simple phrases and organized writing, regarded as the first automated text generation. ELIZA and SHRDLU, among these early systems, depended on preset templates and decision trees, limiting them to just producing templated or predefined language (Kaul et al., 2020). With the introduction of probabilistic models like the Markov chain, this advancement increased, and text was eventually created based on statistical features of word sequences. In other words, these models improved the fluency of generated language, but their limited contextual awareness (Al-Amin et al., 2024) resulted in repetitious or meaningless outcomes. The transition began in the 1990s, with the advent of machine learning and recurrence in recurrent neural networks (RNN). RNNs enabled the

modeling of sequential data, resulting in more coherent and contextually relevant language by considering prior words in a sequence (LeCun et al., 2015; Goodfellow et al., 2016). Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is compatible with inadequate data and can train data to produce proper results, thus explaining why it is utilized (Jasim, 2018).

The transformer architecture was developed in 2017, bringing in the era of transformer architecture, which is used to build many of today's most complex language models. Transformers in the GPT series (OpenAI) and BERT (Google) employ self-attention techniques to capture long-range relationships in text and provide contextually rich and stylistically different outputs (Vaswani et al., 2017). These models are trained on massive datasets that include a wide range of genres and disciplines, and they can emulate various literary styles while also creating text that is often indistinguishable from human writing (Brown et al., 2020). AI text generators' powers have greatly expanded as their quantity and training data have grown. For example, GPT-3 can create poetry, short tales, and essays with exceptional fluidity and inventiveness. GPT-4 is a more current model with increased coherence, scientific correctness, and the ability to handle complicated instruction demands (Achiam et al., 2023). AI systems can contribute to literary production as both a tool for writers and an independent producer of creative works. Large-scale pre-trained models are functional in modern AI literary text production because they may be fine-tuned for a given purpose or genre. Users provide prompts or constraints, and the AI creates the material using the selected style, tone, and topic (Hundvin, 2022). These systems integrate natural language processing and the creation of semantically and stylistically suitable outputs.

As AI-generated writings improve, challenges arise about creativity, the definition of authorship, and the standards for judging literary brilliance. This suggests that the continuing growth of AI literary text production not only improves

technical capabilities but also has implications for creative concepts in general culture.

2.3 Human Literary Generation

A collection of characteristics that are unique to the human literary style rather than shared by any other kinds, reflecting the depth and variety of individual and communal experience. One of the most notable characteristics is creativity in the form of innovative phrase formulation, innovative story formats, and inventive construction of imaginary worlds (Jasim 2019). Literary creativity is more than just novelty; it is a serious component of original work capable of creating information or perspectives of new points of view or, in the best circumstances, unsettling readers via a form of challenge (Thabit and Jasim, 2015). They exhibit another characteristic of human-authored text intentionality. Although algorithmic processes follow logical sequences, human authors create works to convince, provide entertainment, stimulate thinking, or impart moral and philosophical insight. Intentionality is evident in narrative structure, language, and literary devices to attain specific creative or communicative purposes. The text's evolution is determined by the presence of a directing awareness that ensures the work's cohesion and unity (Massoudi et al., 2023).

Human literary production is determined by emotional intelligence. Human authors may overcome it by defining it with subtle descriptions and compelling imagery, in addition to exploring psychological states that generate empathy and emotional connection from readers. Literature's ability to express the most subjective and distinctive aspects of human joy and pain, hope and despair makes it a human reflection of a medium through which readers may look at themselves and their own experiences and emotions (Bevilacqua et al., 2015). Conflict and resolution, as well as the development of conflict and resolution, are communicated through narrative voice and pace, which results in an emotional charge. The richness of

human literary style is augmented by cultural context. The social, historical, and linguistic environment from which it emerges comprises the social, historical, and linguistic embryo respectively. Authors employ cultural symbols, idioms, and intertextuality that are most meaningful to their intended audience, while occasionally reconciling and redefining current norms and values (Thabit and Jasim, 2017). Literature's dual capability to participate in and observe its cultural context as both a product and a shaper of culture is demonstrated by its ability to interact with and remark on cultural themes such as identity, power, and social justice.

Human-authored literature is unusual in conceptually sophisticated, extremely original in storytelling, and has subtext. Literary works with thematic complexity feature ideas that frequently interact, requiring nuanced readings or continuous critical engagement. Often, this complexity is achieved by the juxtaposition of such concepts, the use of symbolism, and the construction of complicated characters (Amirjalili et al. 2014). Another crucial aspect is narrative innovation, which involves experimenting with story structure, narrative sequencing, and point of view. Human authors frequently utilize non-linear tales with unreliable narrators or fragmented storytelling, among other things, to challenge readers' expectations and offer new interpretation possibilities in their works. Such experimentation not only assumes the author's creative autonomy but also pushes the boundaries of literary form. The explicit meaning in the surface text is not the only meaning that readers discover and should engage with. Writers use irony, allusion, and other methods to express assertions that are not accurate, and often are not, but that are understandable to attentive readers. Using subtext makes the reading experience richer, rewarding active interpretation and engagement with the other, more substantial significances contained by the text.

3. AI-Generated Literary Texts: Capabilities and Limitations

3.1 Mechanisms of AI Literary Generation

The basis of AI literary generation consists of complex computer architectures, massive training datasets, and prompt-based generating procedures. Transformer-based models are at the heart of modern AI text production, which processes and creates language incredibly smoothly using self-attention mechanisms (Kusmiatun et al., 2024). Examples of such models are GPT-4, which is trained on vast corpora of literary texts, news articles, and online material to understand syntax, semantics, and stylistic trends. For the model to anticipate future tokens based on prior context, billions of words are inserted into the training phase. Using this statistical method, the AI creates new, contextual, and coherent text depending on a prompt, user-supplied word, sentence, or paragraph that directs the model's output. Correct rapid engineering is becoming increasingly important in the generation of AI literature by simplifying the style, tone, and thematic focus of the created work (Wang et al., 2024).

3.2 Stylistic and Contextual Tendencies in AI Texts

Wherever AI texts come from, there is a trend in their stylistic and contextual shape that may be related to the normative constraints and opportunities inherent in the algorithm they conceal. Mimicry is one of the most common tendencies: AI models can very accurately mimic the surface qualities of human writing, such as grammatical accuracy and genre-specific idiomatic idioms. Specifically, it uses imitation to copy specific writers or literary styles, depending on the stimulus (Baek et al., 2025). AI-generated writing is incredibly fluent, but it lacks originality and depth. They try to combine concepts, but people offer intentionality and subjective experience with something fresh. This indicates that the created tales are coherent in sentences or paragraphs, but they fall short of maintaining thematic consistency or building a diverse cast of engaging characters throughout many paragraphs. The models lack sensitivity, emotional resonance, and subtext, while context is absent.

3.3 Stylistic Comparisons

As individuals make advancements with AI, a significant corpus of study has begun to compare the stylistic qualities of human and AI-generated literary pieces. Among the numerous research that have employed computational stylistics to investigate AI-generated texts, it has been discovered that AI-generated texts may attain significant lexical variety and syntactic complexity, frequently matching those of human writers (Jasim, 2021). However, there are some slight differences: human-authored works tend to have a wider variety of sentence lengths, more delicate use of metaphorical language, and a greater sense of narrative voice. Theoretical arguments claim that while AI innovation may replicate many artistic markers, it frequently lacks the specific idiosyncrasies and artificial deviations from standards that distinguish unique human styles. Table 2 summarizes the key contrasts discovered in the literature, highlighting the complementary strengths and shortcomings of human and AI literary creativity.

Table 2: Comparative Overview of Human- and AI-Generated Literary Texts

Aspect	Human-Generated Texts	AI-Generated Texts
Creativity	High; original metaphors, novel narrative forms	Moderate; recombination of existing patterns
Intentionality	Purpose-driven, with clear authorial goals	Lacks true intentionality; output guided by prompts
Emotional Depth	Rich emotional nuance and psychological insight	Limited emotional resonance, often superficial
Stylistic Variation	Idiosyncratic and diverse stylistic choices	Mimics styles but less variation and subtlety
Thematic Complexity	Multiple, often intersecting themes	Themes tend to be conventional and less layered
Narrative Innovation	Frequent experimentation with	Generally, follows conventional

	structure and voice	narrative patterns
Subtext and Ambiguity	Common, encouraging reader interpretation	Rare; tends to be explicit and literal
Coherence Over Long Texts	Maintains thematic and narrative coherence	Challenges in sustaining coherence in extended texts

3.4 Content Comparisons

The content comparison reveals that human-authored literature is typically in subject and more inventive in storytelling. Human authors struggle with ethical quandaries, confusing intentions, and conflicting ideas, whereas AI-generated books provide traditional narratives with clean resolves (Tawfeeq et al., 2023). Human-authored works are also inclined to have subtext or several layers of meaning because the author can incorporate implicit criticism and sarcasm into her work.

3.5 Critic Responses

AI-generated literature has received a mixed reaction from scholars and critics. Advanced AI models are praised for their fluency and stylistic variety, but chastised for clichés, a lack of inventiveness, emotional resonance, and authenticity (Ching and Mothi, 2025). The issue is that AI would reduce or even dilute human creativity while challenging established notions of authorship and literary value. While this is true, it is recognized that AI-generated texts might serve as a possible source of inspiration, experimentation, and collaborative production.

4. Discussions and Future Directions

Researchers face significant challenges in distinguishing between human and AI-generated texts, particularly as AI models become more sophisticated.

1. Methodological problem: includes the choice of appropriate evaluation criteria, the plan of blind reading experiment, and the interpretations of

subjective judgement on style and content. This, combined with the pace of technological advancement, makes it difficult to create stable benchmarks for comparison.

2. Philosophical and Ethical Considerations: The fact that AI has become the production tool of literature also engenders immense philosophical and ethical issues. The debates concentrate on the nature of authorship, the definition of originality, and their ability to be attributed to creative agency to machines. Potential people include IP and commodification of creativity, and the idea that AI can perpetuate biases through training data.
3. Cultural and Social Implications: AI-generated literature holds the power to transform how people experience literature and the publishing and educational aspects of cultural heritage. The use of AI in creative activities delivers new challenges to traditional publishing structures which could result in equal opportunities for writers. Proper management is essential to avoid stereotyping and expression standardization which emerges from AI-generated literature. The evolving relationship between human and AI creativity necessitates ongoing critical reflection and adaptation within the literary community.

Current study on a variety of topics leaves much to be discovered. These include AI's capacity to maintain long-term story coherence, model intertextual linkages, and include cultural complexity and contextual knowledge. Understanding the capabilities and limits of AI in literary production will be critical to closing these gaps. Interdisciplinarity in future studies would be achieved using literary studies, computer science, philosophy, and cultural theory. A mix of methodological innovation, computational tools, and collaborative frameworks, will be vital for delving deeper into analysis and fostering critical reflection on new technology.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the research focuses on human creative generation, AI text skills, and the difficulty of differentiating between human and artificial writing. AI models' stylistic, matching, and fluidity are outstanding; however, they fall in originality, emotional depth, and actual objectives in their writing. In this study, researchers assess present analyses and examine critical constraints and possible advantages for understanding human-AI literary collaboration. As AI gets the literary track, it will be vital to maintain an ongoing discourse and critical thought about how literature is being upended and lost.

References

- Achiam, J., Adler, S., Agarwal, S., Ahmad, L., Akkaya, I., Aleman, F. L., McGrew, B. (2023). Gpt-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.08774>
- Al-Amin, M., Ali, M. S., Salam, A., Khan, A., Ali, A., Ullah, A., Chowdhury, S. K. (2024). History of generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) chatbots: past, present, and future development. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05122. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.05122>
- Amirjalili, F., Neyrani, M., Nikbakht, A. (2024, March). Exploring the boundaries of authorship: A comparative analysis of AI-generated text and human academic writing in English literature. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 9, p. 1347421). Frontiers Media SA. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1347421>
- Baek, T. H., Kim, H. J., Kim, J. (2025). AI-generated recommendations: Roles of language style, perceived AI human-likeness, and recommendation agent. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 126, 104106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2025.104106>
- Bal, M. (2009). *Narratology: Introduction to the theory of narrative*. University of Toronto Press. <https://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.128688>
- Bevilacqua, M., Oketch, K., Qin, R., Stamey, W., Zhang, X., Gan, Y., Abbasi, A. (2025). When automated assessment meets automated content generation: Examining text quality in the era of gpts. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 43(2), 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3702639>
- Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33, 1877-1901.

- Ching, V., & Mothi, D. (2025). AI for Creatives: Unlocking Expressive Digital Potential. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003533016>
- Eagleton, T. (2011). Literary theory: An introduction. John Wiley & Sons. Available at: <http://thuvienso.bvu.edu.vn/handle/TVDHBRVT/15442>
- Fedulenkova, T. N. (2018). Stylistics. A resource book for students. Language and Culture, (12), 118-123. <https://doi.org/10.17223/24109266/12/11>
- Forman, J., & Damschroder, L. (2007). Qualitative content analysis. In Empirical methods for bioethics: A primer (pp. 39-62). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3709\(07\)11003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3709(07)11003-7)
- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A., Bengio, Y. (2016). Deep learning (Vol. 1, No. 2). Cambridge: MIT press. Available at: http://www.gbv.de/dms/weimar/toc/1633735990_toc.pdf
- Hundvin, M. H. (2022). Generating sample code snippets from Lark grammar specifications (Master's thesis, The University of Bergen). Available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11250/3045511>
- Jasim, S. A. (2019). Disintegration of Gender Equality in Alan Moore and David Lloyd's V for Vendetta. Available at: <http://proceedings.sriweb.org/>
- Jasim, Y. (2021). Benefits of learning a second language. Available at SSRN 3895362. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3895362>
- Jasim, Y. A. (2018). Improving intrusion detection systems using artificial neural networks. ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal, 7(1), 49-65. Available at: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=6512702>
- Jockers, M. L. (2013). Macroanalysis: Digital methods and literary history. University of Illinois Press. Available at: <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/21978/>
- Kalantzis, M., & Cope, B. (2025). Literacy in the time of Artificial Intelligence. Reading Research Quarterly, 60(1), e591. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.591>
- Kaul, V., Enslin, S., & Gross, S. A. (2020). History of artificial intelligence in medicine. Gastrointestinal endoscopy, 92(4), 807-812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.040>
- Karem, M. A., Raewf, M. B., Thabit, T. H., & Shakir, R. M. (2022). The factors that influence knowledge sharing in educational institutions. Cihan University-Erbil Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 6(1), 69-74. <https://doi.org/10.24086/cuejhss.v6n1y2022.pp69-74>
- Khalifa, M., & Albadawy, M. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in academic writing and research: An essential productivity tool. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update, 100145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100145>
- Kusmiatun, A., Efendi, D., Al Pansori, M. J., Judijanto, L., Sariasih, Y., & Saddhono, K. (2024, May). The Power of AI in Generating Novel Based and Impactful Character Development for Fiction Story. In 2024 4th International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE) (pp. 1555-1561). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACITE60783.2024.10616700>
- Law, L. (2024). Application of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) in language teaching and learning: A scoping literature review. Computers and Education Open, 100174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100174>
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. nature, 521(7553), 436-444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539>
- Massoudi, A. H., Birdawod, H. Q., & Raewf, M. B. (2023). Personal digital marketing influence on successful marketing campaign in today's digital age. Cihan University-Erbil Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 7(1), 158-165. <https://doi.org/10.24086/cuejhss.v7n1y2023.pp158-165>
- Muhamad, A., Windi, M., Saeed, M., AbdulAmeer, S. Jasim, Y. A. (2021). High-performance deep learning to detection and tracking tomato plant leaf predict disease and expert systems. ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.14201/ADCAIJ202110297122>
- Pacini, P. (2022, September). From Beat Generation to Hacker Generation: On/1 The Road: Experimental Road Narratives. In Beat Times: Temporalities in Beat Writing. EUROPEAN BEAT STUDIES NETWORK 10th ANNUAL CONFERENCE. Available at: <https://hal.science/hal-04073407v1>
- Raewf, M., Aissa, S. A. H., & Thabit, T. (2021). The impact of quality, cost, and lead time on competitive advantage: Case of SMEs operating in Iraq. Economic Studies Journal, 19(03). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3945123>
- Raita, Y. (2019). The Day a Computer Writes a Novel. Pobrane z: <https://my.vanderbilt.edu>.
- Tawfeeq, T. M., Awqati, A. J., & Jasim, Y. A. (2023). The ethical implications of chatgpt ai chatbot: A review. JMCER, 2023, 49-57. Available at: <https://jmcer.org/research/the-ethical-implications-of-chatgpt-ai-chatbot-a-review/>
- Thabit, T. H., & Jasim, Y. A. (2015). A manuscript of knowledge representation. International Journal of Human Resource & Industrial Research, 4(4), 10-21. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3665036>
- Thabit, T. H., & Jasim, Y. A. A. (2017). The role of social networks in increasing the activity of E-learning. Social Media Shaping e-Publishing and Academia, 35-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55354-2_4
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30.
- Wang, L., Chen, X., Deng, X., Wen, H., You, M., Liu, W., ... & Li, J. (2024). Prompt engineering in consistency and reliability with the evidence-based guideline for LLMs. NPJ digital medicine, 7(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01029-4>